

December 10, 2008

OLSON, HAGEL

Fair Political Practices Commission Mr. Ross Johnson, Chair 428 J Street, Suit 620 Sacramento, CA 95814

RE: Opposition to Proposed Regulation 18420.01 - Agenda Item 17

Dear Chairman Johnson:

I write on behalf of my client, the California School Boards
Association, to oppose adoption of proposed Regulation 18420.1. We join
the comments submitted by the California League of Cities, California
State Association of Counties, and City of Salinas in their opposition to
the Regulation.

We also write to point out that adoption of the Regulation is contrary to the holding of the First District Court of Appeals in Gray Davis v. American Taxpayers Alliance (2002) 102 Cal. App. 4th 449.

In that case, the Court held that the Political Reform Act's provision defining reportable expenditures, including Government Code section 82025, 82031, and California Code of Regulations, Title 2, section 18225, are to be construed to apply only to those communications that contain express advocacy. (Id. at 471.)

As drafted, the regulation impermissibly changes the standard for reporting expenditures of public agency communications from an "express advocacy" standard to a "fair and impartial" standard.

We therefore urge you not to adopt the proposed Regulation.

Sincerely,

OLSON HAGEL & FISHBURN, LLP

RICHARD R. RIOS

Side / Hage Didne At Final to Exact to Gade Decoror & Cucron N. Eugene H

Yenes Cistinate

Right and M. Right

Milen F. Jules